CMSC201 Computer Science I for Majors

Lecture 24 – Algorithmic Analysis

All materials copyright UMBC and Dr. Katherine Gibson unless otherwise noted

Last Class We Covered

- Sorting algorithms
 - Bubble Sort
 - Selection Sort
 - Quicksort
- Searching algorithms
 - Linear search
 - Binary search

AN HONORS UNIVERSITY IN MARYLAND

Any Questions from Last Time?

Today's Objectives

- To learn about asymptotic analysis
 - What it is
 - Why it's important
 - How to calculate it

To discuss "run time" of algorithms
Why one algorithm is "better" than another

Alphabetizing a Bookshelf



Video from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WaNLJf8xzC4

UMBC

AN HONORS UNIVERSITY IN MARYLAND

Run Time

Run Time

- An algorithm's *run time* is the amount of "time" it takes for that algorithm to run
 - "Time" normally means number of operations or something similar, and not seconds or minutes
- Run time is shown as an expression, which updates based on how large the problem is
- Run time shows how an algorithm *scales*, or changes with the size of the problem

Example: Fibonacci Recursion

- Ideally, we want an algorithm that runs in a reasonable amount of time, no matter how large the problem
- Remember the recursive Fibonacci program?
 - It runs within one second for smaller positions
 - But the larger the position we ask for, the longer and longer it takes

Fibonacci Recursion

- python fibEx.py (with position < 30):
 < 1 second</pre>
- python fibEx.py (with position = 30):
 2 seconds
- python fibEx.py (with position = 35):
 8 seconds
- python fibEx.py (with position = 40):
 76 seconds

Fibonacci Recursion

python fibEx.py (with position = 50):
 Guess!

9,493 seconds

2 hours, 38 minutes, 13 seconds!!!

Run Time for Linear Search

- Say we have a list that <u>does not</u> contain what we're looking for.
- How many things in the list does linear search have to look at for it to figure out the item's not there for a list of 8 things?
- 16 things?
- 32 things?

Run Time for Binary Search

- Say we have a list that <u>does not</u> contain what we're looking for.
- What about for binary search?
 - How many things does it have to look at to figure out the item's not there for a list of 8 things?
 - 16 things?
 - 32 things?
- Notice anything different?

Different Run Times

- These algorithms scale differently!
 - Linear search does an amount of work
 equal to the number of items in the list
 - Binary search does an amount of work equal to the **log**₂ of the numbers in the list!
- By the way, log₂ (x) is basically asking "2 to what power equals x?" (normally shown as lg(x))
 - This is the same as saying, "how many times must we divide x in half before we hit 1?"

Bubble Sort Run Time

• For a list of size **N**, how much work do we do for a single pass?

-N

- How many passes will we have to do?
 N
- What is the run time of Bubble Sort?
 N²

Selection Sort Run Time

• What is the run time of finding the lowest number in a list?

- For a list of size **N**, how many elements do you have to look through to find the min?
- N

Selection Sort Run Time

- For a list of size **N**, how many times would we have to find the min to sort the list?
- N

What is the run time of this sorting algorithm?
N²

Quicksort Run Time

 For a list of size N, how many steps does it take to move everything less than the "pivot" to the left and everything greater than the "pivot" to the right?

• N

Quicksort Run Time

- How many times will the algorithm divide the list in half?
- lg(N)

- What is the run time of Quicksort?
- N * lg(N)

Different Run Times

- As our list gets bigger and bigger, which of the search algorithms is faster?
 - -Linear or binary search?
- How <u>much</u> faster is binary search?
 A lot!
 - But exactly how much is "a lot"?

AN HONORS UNIVERSITY IN MARYLAND

Asymptotic Analysis

What is "Big O" Notation?

Big O notation is a concept in Computer Science

 Used to describe the complexity
 (or performance) of an algorithm

- Big O describes the **worst-case** scenario
 - Big Omega (Ω) describes the best-case
 - Big Theta (Θ) is used when the best and worst case scenarios are the same

A Simple Example

- Say we write an algorithm that takes in an list of numbers and returns the maximum
 - What is the absolute fastest it can run?
 - Linear time Ω(N)
 - What is the absolute slowest it can run?
 - Linear time O(N)
 - Are these two values the same?
 - YES so we can also say it's ⊖(N)

Simplification

- We are only interested in the growth rate as an "order of magnitude"
 - As the problem grows really, really, really large
- We are not concerned with the fine details
 - Constant multipliers are dropped
 - So $O(3 \times N^2)$ becomes simply $O(N^2)$
 - Lower order terms are dropped
 - So $O(N^3 + 4N^2)$ becomes simply $O(N^3)$

Asymptotic Analysis

- For a list of size N, linear search does N operations.
 So we say it is O (N) (pronounced "big Oh of n")
- For a list of size N, binary search does lg(N) operations, so we say it is O(lg(N))
- The function inside the **O()** parentheses indicates how fast the algorithm scales

Worst Case vs Best Case

- Why differentiate between the two?
- Think back to selection sort
 - What is the <u>best</u> case for run time?
 - What is the <u>worst</u> case for run time?
- They're the same!
 - Always have to find each minimum by looking through the entire list every time – Θ (N²)

Bubble Sort Run Times

- What about bubble sort?
 - What is the <u>best</u> case for run time?
 - What is the worst case for run time?

- Very different!
 - Best case, everything is already sorted Ω (~N~)
 - Worst case, it's completely backwards O (N^2)

Quicksort Run Times

• What about quicksort?

Depends on what the "hinge" or "pivot" is

- This determines how many times we split

 But each split, we'll need to compare each item
 to the hinge in their respective part: O(N)
- Best case, pivot is exact center Ω(N*lgN)
- Worst case, it's an "edge" item O (N^2)

Worst-case vs Best-case

- This is why, even though all three sorting algorithms have the same run times...
 - Quicksort often runs very, very quickly
 - Bubble Sort often runs much faster than Selection
- How does this apply to linear search and binary search? What are the best and worst run times for these?

Search Run Times

- Linear search:
 - Best case: $\Omega(1)$
 - Worst case: O(N)
- Binary search:
 - Best case: $\Omega(1)$
 - Worst case: O(lg(N))











- For large problems, there's a *huge* difference!
- If we can do 1,000,000 operations per second, and the list is 337.4 <u>quadrillion</u> items
 - Binary search takes 0.000058 seconds
 - Linear search takes 337,407,000,000 seconds

5,623,450,000 minutes

93,724,166 hours

3,905,173 days

Daily CS History

- Hedy Lamarr
 - Film star in 1930s 1950s
 - Patented a frequency-hopping system that would make radioguided torpedoes hard to detect or jam during World War II
 - Technologies like Bluetooth and
 Wi-Fi use similar methods



Announcements

- Project 3 is due on Friday, December 8th
- Survey #3 due on Tuesday, December 12th
 If completed, will receive an email with responses
- SEEQs are out now link in your email/on BB
- Final exam is when?
 - Friday, December 15th from 6 to 8 PM

Completing SEEQs

- Please take the time now, if you haven't already, to complete the SEEQ online
- You can access it via the link in your email, or via Blackboard
 UAT Test 2: Student Course Evaluation

This is the part \rightarrow I will get to see

What was the best part of the course and why?

OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS: "Direct Instructor Feedback Form" (DIFF)

What changes would you recommend in the course and why?

Final Exam Locations

- Find your room ahead of time!
- ITE Building 102 Sections 22, 28, 32
- ITE Building 104 Sections 2, 3, 4, 5, 6
- Meyerhoff 030 Sections 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 17, 18, 20
- Performing Arts 132 Sections 15, 16, 31
- Sherman 003 Sections 23, 26, 29, 30
- Public Policy 105 Sections 21, 24, 27

Image Sources

- Alphabetizing a Bookshelf video screenshot:
 - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WaNLJf8xzC4
- Graphs of x and log₂(x) courtesy of Google equation grapher
- Hedy Lamarr:
 - https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Hedy_lamarr_-_1940.jpg